1	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP				
2	RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (pro hac vice) THOMAS S. HIXSON (pro hac vice)				
3	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-7300	BREE HANN (pro hac vice) KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (pro hac vice)				
	Facsimile: (702) 382-2755	Three Embarcadero Center				
4	rpocker@bsfllp.com	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: 415.393.2000				
5	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	Facsimile: 415.393.2286				
	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice)	geoff.howard@bingham.com				
6	FRED NORTON (pro hac vice)	thomas.hixson@bingham.com				
7	KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (pro hac vice) 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900	bree.hann@bingham.com kristen.palumbo@bingham.com				
,	Oakland, CA 94612	Misten.paramoo e omgnam.com				
8	Telephone: (510) 874-1000	DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice)				
•	Facsimile: (510) 874-1460	DEBORAH K. MILLER (pro hac vice)				
9	sholtzman@bsfllp.com	JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice)				
10	fnorton@bsfllp.com kringgenberg@bsfllp.com	ORACLE CORPORATION 500 Oracle Perkuyay M/S 50n7				
10	Kringgenberg@bsrnp.com	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070				
11		Telephone: 650.506.4846				
		Facsimile: 650.506.7114				
12		dorian.daley@oracle.com				
		deborah.miller@oracle.com				
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International	jim.maroulis@oracle.com				
14	Corp.					
15						
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
16	DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
17	DISTRICTO	TALVADA				
	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL				
18	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware					
19	corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ORACLE'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL				
30	CORI ORATION, a Camornia Corporation,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT				
20		SOMETHING OF CONTINUE				
	Plaintiffs,					
21	Plaintiffs, v.					
	V.					
21 22						
	v.  RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,					
22 23	v.  RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;					
22 23	v.  RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,					
22 23 24	v.  RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,					
22 23 24 25	v.  RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,					
22 23 24	v.  RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,					
22 23 24 25 26	v.  RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,					
22 23 24 25	v.  RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,					

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

2

1

- 3 Pending before the Court is the motion of Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America,
- 4 Inc., and Oracle International Corp. (collectively, "Oracle") for partial summary judgment. After
- 5 full consideration of the moving and opposing papers of each party, the arguments of counsel,
- 6 and all other matters presented to the Court, and finding that there are no genuine issues of
- 7 material fact with regard to the issues raised by Oracle's motion, and that Oracle is entitled to
- **8** judgment as a matter of law,

## 9 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. Defendant Rimini Street's ("Rimini's") creation and/or use of copies of the following copyrighted software:

12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

10

11

Software	Copyright Registration
PeopleTools 7.5	TX 4-792-578
PeopleTools 8.10	TX 5-266-221
PeopleTools 8.48	TX 7-092-819
PeopleSoft HRMS 7.5	TX 4-792-575
PeopleSoft 8.3 HRMS	TX 5-469-032
PeopleSoft 8.4	
Financials and Supply	TX 5-586-247
Chain Management	
Initial release of JDE	TX 6-541-038
EnterpriseOne 8.10	1A 0-341-036
Siebel 7.7.1 Initial	
Release and	TX 6-941-993
Documentation	

that were contained in or created from the twelve environments at issue in Oracle's motion – H751COFO, H751COFO, H751COF2, H751DEVO, H751AUD, H831PPSM, H831PPS2, F842PPSM, F842PPSM JGCHE5TSA1, NOVELL-AP01, NOVELL-CLI – were in violation of Oracle's exclusive rights under the Copyright Act. Therefore, Oracle is entitled to relief as to these copies under its first cause of action.

2. Rimini's Second, Third, and Sixth Affirmative Defenses do not excuse this infringement.

27

//

//

2

1	IT	IS SO ORDERED.			
2					
3					
4	DATED:				
5					
6			R <sub>v</sub> .		
7			Бу.	Judge, United States District C	Court
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28				3	